

# Aggregate Planning, Sales and Operations Planning

PowerPoint presentation to accompany Heizer and Render Operations Management, Eleventh Edition Principles of Operations Management, Ninth Edition

**PowerPoint slides by Jeff Heyl** 

6

- Aggregate schedules set levels of inventory, production, subcontracting, and employment over an intermediate time range, usually 3 to 18 months.
- The aggregate plan is an important responsibility of an operations manager and a key to efficient use of existing resources. It leads to the more detailed master production schedule, which becomes the basis for disaggregation, detail scheduling, and MRP systems.

# **Aggregate Planning at Frito-Lay**

- More than three dozen brands, 15 brands sell more than \$100 million annually, 7 sell over \$1 billion
- Planning processes covers 3 to 18 months
- Unique processes and designed equipment
- High fixed costs
  require high volumes
  and high utilization




# **Aggregate Planning at Frito-Lay**

- Demand profile based on historical sales, forecasts, innovations, promotion, local demand data
- Match total demand to capacity, expansion plans, and costs
- Quarterly aggregate plan goes to 36 plants in 17 regions
- Each plant develops 4-week
  plan for product lines and
  production runs




### THE PLANNING PROCESS



### SALES AND OPERATIONS PLANNING

- Coordination of demand forecasts with functional areas and the supply chain
- Typically done by cross-functional teams
- Determine which plans are feasible
- Limitations must be reflected
- Provides warning when resources do not match expectations
- Output is an aggregate plan



- Decisions must be tied to strategic planning and integrated with all areas of the firm over all planning horizons
- Sales & Operations Planning is aimed at
  - The coordination and integration of the internal and external resources necessary for a successful aggregate plan
  - 2. Communication of the plan to those charged with its execution

### SALES AND OPERATIONS PLANNING

# Requires

- A logical overall unit for measuring sales and output
- A forecast of demand for an intermediate planning period in these aggregate terms
- A method for determining relevant costs
- A model that combines forecasts and costs so that scheduling decisions can be made for the planning period

# The objective of aggregate planning is usually to meet forecast demand while minimizing cost over the planning period

| QUARTER 1 |           |         |  |  |  |
|-----------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
| Jan.      | Feb.      | March   |  |  |  |
| 150,000   | 120,000   | 110,000 |  |  |  |
|           |           |         |  |  |  |
|           | QUARTER 2 |         |  |  |  |
| April     | May       | June    |  |  |  |
| 100,000   | 130,000   | 150,000 |  |  |  |
|           |           |         |  |  |  |
|           | QUARTER 3 |         |  |  |  |
| July      | Aug.      | Sept.   |  |  |  |
| 180,000   | 150,000   | 140,000 |  |  |  |



- Combines appropriate resources into general terms
- Part of a larger production planning system
- Disaggregation breaks the plan down into greater detail
- Disaggregation results in a master production schedule

- 1. Should **inventories be used** to absorb changes in demand?
- 2. Should changes be accommodated by **varying the size** of the workforce?
- 3. Should **part-timers, overtime, or idle** time be used to absorb changes?
- 4. Should **subcontractors** be used and maintain a stable workforce?
- 5. Should **prices or other factors** be changed to influence demand?

# **1.** Changing inventory levels

- Increase inventory in low demand periods to meet high demand in the future
- Increases costs associated with storage, insurance, handling, obsolescence, and capital investment
- Shortages may mean lost sales due to long lead times and poor customer service

# 2. Varying workforce size by hiring or layoffs

- Match production rate to demand
- Training and separation costs for hiring and laying off workers
- New workers may have lower productivity
- Laying off workers may lower morale and productivity

- **3. Varying production rates** through overtime or idle time
  - Allows constant workforce
  - May be difficult to meet large increases in demand
  - Overtime can be costly and may drive down productivity
  - Absorbing idle time may be difficult

# 4. Subcontracting

- Temporary measure during periods of peak demand
- May be costly
- Assuring quality and timely delivery may be difficult
- Exposes your customers to a possible competitor

- 5. Using part-time workers
  - Useful for filling unskilled or low skilled positions, especially in services

### **DEMAND OPTIONS**

# 1. Influencing demand

- Use advertising or promotion to increase demand in low periods
- Attempt to shift demand to slow periods
- May not be sufficient to balance demand and capacity



### **DEMAND OPTIONS**

- 2. Back ordering during high-demand periods
  - Requires customers to wait for an order without loss of goodwill or the order
  - Most effective when there are few if any substitutes for the product or service
  - Often results in lost sales

### **DEMAND OPTIONS**

- 3. Counterseasonal product and service mixing
  - Develop a product mix of counterseasonal items
  - May lead to products or services outside the company's areas of expertise

| OPTION   | ADVANTAGES  | DISADVANTAGES   | COMMENTS  |
|--|---|---|---|
| Changing<br>inventory<br>levels                      | Changes in human<br>resources are<br>gradual or none; no<br>abrupt production<br>changes. | Inventory holding cost<br>may increase.<br>Shortages may result<br>in lost sales. | Applies mainly to<br>production, not<br>service,<br>operations. |
| Varying<br>workforce<br>size by hiring<br>or layoffs | Avoids the costs of other alternatives.   | Hiring, layoff, and<br>training costs may be<br>significant.                      | Used where size of labor pool is large.                         |

| OPTION  | ADVANTAGES   | DISADVANTAGES   | COMMENTS  |
|---|--|---|---|
| Varying<br>production<br>rates<br>through<br>overtime or<br>idle time | Matches seasonal<br>fluctuations<br>without hiring/<br>training costs. | Overtime premiums;<br>tired workers; may<br>not meet demand.                | Allows flexibility<br>within the<br>aggregate plan. |
| Sub-<br>contracting   | Permits flexibility<br>and smoothing of<br>the firm's output.          | Loss of quality<br>control; reduced<br>profits; loss of future<br>business. | Applies mainly in production settings.              |

| OPTION                      | ADVANTAGES   | DISADVANTAGES  | COMMENTS  |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---|
| Using part-<br>time workers | Is less costly and<br>more flexible than<br>full-time workers.       | High turnover/<br>training costs; quality<br>suffers; scheduling<br>difficult. | Good for unskilled<br>jobs in areas with<br>large temporary<br>labor pools. |
| Influencing<br>demand       | Tries to use excess<br>capacity. Discounts<br>draw new<br>customers. | Uncertainty in<br>demand. Hard to<br>match demand to<br>supply exactly.        | Creates marketing<br>ideas.<br>Overbooking used<br>in some<br>businesses.   |

| OPTION   | ADVANTAGES   | DISADVANTAGES   | COMMENTS  |
|--|--|---|---|
| Back<br>ordering<br>during high-<br>demand<br>periods    | May avoid<br>overtime. Keeps<br>capacity constant.       | Customer must be<br>willing to wait, but<br>goodwill is lost.                   | Many companies<br>back order.   |
| Counter-<br>seasonal<br>product and<br>service<br>mixing | Fully utilizes<br>resources; allows<br>stable workforce. | May require skills or<br>equipment outside<br>the firm's areas of<br>expertise. | Risky finding<br>products or<br>services with<br>opposite demand<br>patterns. |

- A mixed strategy may be the best way to achieve minimum costs
- There are many possible mixed strategies
- Finding the optimal plan is not always possible

### Mixing Options to Develop a Plan

# Chase strategy

- Match output rates to demand forecast for each period
- Vary workforce levels or vary production rate
- Favored by many service organizations

### Mixing Options to Develop a Plan

# Level strategy

- Daily production is uniform
- Use inventory or idle time as buffer
- Stable production leads to better quality and productivity
- Some combination of capacity options, a mixed strategy, might be the best solution

#### **METHODS FOR AGGREGATE PLANNING**


# Graphical Methods

- Popular techniques
  - Easy to understand and use
  - Trial-and-error approaches that do not guarantee an optimal solution
  - Require only limited computations

- 1. Determine the demand for each period
- 2. Determine the capacity for regular time, overtime, and subcontracting each period
- 3. Find labor costs, hiring and layoff costs, and inventory holding costs
- 4. Consider company policy on workers and stock levels
- 5. Develop alternative plans and examine their total cost

| Monthly Forecasts |                    |                    |                              |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| MONTH             | EXPECTED<br>DEMAND | PRODUCTION<br>DAYS | DEMAND PER<br>DAY (COMPUTED) |
| Jan               | 900                | 22                 | 41                           |
| Feb               | 700                | 18                 | 39                           |
| Mar               | 800                | 21                 | 38                           |
| Apr               | 1,200              | 21                 | 57                           |
| May               | 1,500              | 22                 | 68                           |
| June              | <u>1,100</u>       | <u>20</u>          | 55                           |
|                   | 6,200              | 124                |                              |

| Average     |   | Total expected demand     |
|-------------|---|---------------------------|
| requirement | = | Number of production days |
|             | = | 6,200<br>50 units per day |



| Cost Information   |  |
|--|--|
| Inventory carrying cost  | \$ 5 per unit per month                  |
| Subcontracting cost per unit                                   | \$20 per unit                            |
| Average pay rate   | \$10 per hour (\$80 per day)             |
| Overtime pay rate  | \$17 per hour<br>(above 8 hours per day) |
| Labor-hours to produce a unit                                  | 1.6 hours per unit                       |
| Cost of increasing daily production rate (hiring and training) | \$300 per unit                           |
| Cost of decreasing daily production rate (layoffs)             | \$600 per unit                           |
| Pla  | n 1 – constant workforce                 |

| MONTH | PRODUCTION<br>DAYS | PRODUCTION<br>AT 50 UNITS<br>PER DAY | DEMAND<br>FORECAST | MONTHLY<br>INVENTORY<br>CHANGE | ENDING<br>INVENTORY |
|-------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Jan   | 22                 | 1,100                                | 900                | +200                           | 200                 |
| Feb   | 18                 | 900                                  | 700                | +200                           | 400                 |
| Mar   | 21                 | 1,050                                | 800                | +250                           | 650                 |
| Apr   | 21                 | 1,050                                | 1,200              | -150                           | 500                 |
| May   | 22                 | 1,100                                | 1,500              | -400                           | 100                 |
| June  | 20                 | 1,000                                | 1,100              | -100                           | 0                   |
|       |                    |                                      |                    |                                | 1,850               |


| Total units of inventory carried over from one |               |
|--|---------------|
| month to the next                              | = 1,850 units |
| Workforce required to produce 50 units per day | = 10 workers  |

| COST   |           | CALCULATIONS                                |  |  |
|--|-----------|---|--|--|
| Inventory carrying   | \$9,250   | (= 1,850 units carried x \$5 per<br>unit)   |  |  |
| Regular-time labor   | 99,200    | (= 10 workers x \$80 per day x 124<br>days) |  |  |
| Other costs (overtime,<br>hiring, layoffs,<br>subcontracting)                                  | 0         |   |  |  |
| Total cost   | \$108,450 |   |  |  |
| Iotal units of inventory carried over from one   |           |   |  |  |
| month to the next = 1,850 units<br>Workforce required to produce 50 units per day = 10 workers |           |   |  |  |

- In-house production = 38 units per day
  - x 124 days
  - = 4,712 units
  - Subcontract units = 6,200 4,712
    - = 6,200 4,712 = 1,488 units

| COST               |           | CALCULATIONS                                 |
|--------------------|-----------|--|
| Regular-time labor | \$75,392  | (= 7.6 workers x \$80 per day x 124<br>days) |
| Subcontracting     | 29,760    | (= 1,488 units x \$20 per unit)              |
| Total cost         | \$105,152 |  |


#### **Roofing Supplier Example 3**



## **Roofing Supplier Example 4**

| Cost Computations for Plan 3 |                     |                       |   |  |  |                    |  |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|--------------------|--|
| MONTH                        | FORECAST<br>(UNITS) | DAILY<br>PROD<br>RATE | BASIC<br>PRODUCTION<br>COST (DEMAND<br>X 1.6 HRS/UNIT<br>X \$10/HR) | EXTRA COST OF<br>INCREASING<br>PRODUCTION<br>(HIRING COST) | EXTRA COST OF<br>DECREASING<br>PRODUCTION<br>(LAYOFF COST) | TOTAL COST         |  |
| Jan                          | 900                 | 41                    | \$ 14,400   | —  |  | \$ 14 <b>,</b> 400 |  |
| Feb                          | 700                 | 39                    | 11,200  | _  | \$1,200<br>(= 2 x \$600)                                   | 12,400             |  |
| Mar                          | 800                 | 38                    | 12,800  | —  | \$600<br>(= 1 x \$600)                                     | 13,400             |  |
| Apr                          | 1,200               | 57                    | 19,200  | \$5,700<br>(= 19 x \$300)                                  |  |                    |  |
| May                          | 1,500               | 68                    | 24,000  | \$3,300<br>(= 11 x \$300)                                  |  | 24,300             |  |
| June                         | 1,100               | 55                    | 17,600  |  | \$7,800<br>(= 13 x \$600)                                  | 25,400             |  |
|                              |                     |                       | \$99,200  | \$9,000  | \$9,600  | \$117,800          |  |

### **Roofing Supplier Example 4**



## **COMPARISON OF THREE PLANS**

| Comparison of the Three Plans |           |           |           |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| COST                          | PLAN 1    | PLAN 2    | PLAN 3    |  |  |  |
| Inventory carrying            | \$ 9,250  | \$ O      | \$ O      |  |  |  |
| Regular labor                 | 99,200    | 75,392    | 99,200    |  |  |  |
| Overtime labor                | 0         | 0         | 0         |  |  |  |
| Hiring                        | 0         | 0         | 9,000     |  |  |  |
| Layoffs                       | 0         | 0         | 9,600     |  |  |  |
| Subcontracting                | 0         | 29,760    | 0         |  |  |  |
| Total cost                    | \$108,450 | \$105,152 | \$117,800 |  |  |  |

## Conclusion: Plan 2 is the lowest cost option

# Useful for generating strategies

- > Transportation Method of Linear Programming
  - > Produces an optimal plan
  - > Works well for inventories, overtime, subcontracting
  - > Does not work when nonlinear or negative factors are introduced
- Other Models
  - > General form of linear programming
  - > Simulation

# **TRANSPORTATION METHOD**

| Farnsworth's Production, Demand, Capacity, and Cost Data |              |       |           |  |  |  |
|--|--------------|-------|-----------|--|--|--|
|  | SALES PERIOD |       |           |  |  |  |
|  | MAR.         | APR.  | MAY       |  |  |  |
| Demand   | 800          | 1,000 | 750       |  |  |  |
| Capacity:  |              |       |           |  |  |  |
| Regular  | 700          | 700   | 700<br>50 |  |  |  |
| Overtime   | 50           | 50    |           |  |  |  |
| Subcontracting   | 150          | 150   | 130       |  |  |  |
| Beginning inventory                                      | 100 tires    |       |           |  |  |  |

| COSTS          |                         |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Regular time   | \$40 per tire           |  |  |  |  |
| Overtime       | \$50 per tire           |  |  |  |  |
| Subcontracting | \$70 per tire           |  |  |  |  |
| Carrying cost  | \$ 2 per tire per month |  |  |  |  |

## **Transportation Example**

# Important points

- 1. Carrying costs are \$2/tire/month. If goods are made in one period and held over to the next, holding costs are incurred.
- 2. Supply must equal demand, so a dummy column called "unused capacity" is added.
- 3. Because back ordering is not viable in this example, cells that might be used to satisfy earlier demand are not available.
- 4. Quantities in each column designate the levels of inventory needed to meet demand requirements
- 5. In general, production should be allocated to the lowest cost cell available without exceeding unused capacity in the row or demand in the column

|             |                    | DEMAND FOR        |    |                   |      |                   |    |                               | TOTAL |                                   |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|----|-------------------|------|-------------------|----|-------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| SUPPLY FROM |                    | Period 1<br>(Mar) |    | Period 2<br>(Apr) |      | Period 3<br>(May) |    | Unused<br>Capacity<br>(dummy) |       | CAPACITY<br>AVAILABLE<br>(supply) |
|             |                    |                   | 0  |                   | 2    |                   | 4  |                               | 0     |                                   |
|             | eginning inventory | 1                 | 00 |                   | 1    |                   |    |                               |       | 100                               |
| P           |                    |                   | 40 |                   | 42   |                   | 44 |                               | 0     |                                   |
| e<br>r      | Regular time       | 7                 | 00 |                   |      |                   |    |                               |       | 700                               |
| i           |                    |                   | 50 |                   | 52   |                   | 54 |                               | 0     |                                   |
| 0           | Overtime           |                   |    | 50                |      |                   |    |                               |       | 50                                |
| d           |                    |                   | 70 |                   | 72   |                   | 74 |                               | 0     |                                   |
| 1           | Subcontract        |                   |    | 1                 | 50   |                   |    |                               |       | 150                               |
| Ρ           |                    |                   |    |                   | 40   |                   | 42 |                               | 0     |                                   |
| e<br>r      | Regular time       |                   | Х  | 7                 | 00   |                   |    |                               |       | 700                               |
| i           |                    |                   |    |                   | 50   |                   | 52 |                               | 0     |                                   |
| 0           | Overtime           |                   | Х  |                   | 50   |                   |    | -                             |       | 50                                |
| d           |                    |                   |    |                   | 70   |                   | 72 |                               | 0     |                                   |
| 2           | Subcontract        |                   | Х  |                   | 50   |                   |    | 1                             | 00    | 150                               |
| P           |                    |                   |    |                   |      |                   | 40 |                               | 0     |                                   |
| e<br>r      | Regular time       |                   | Х  |                   | Х    | 7                 | 00 |                               |       | 700                               |
| i           |                    |                   |    |                   |      |                   | 50 |                               | 0     |                                   |
| o<br>d      | Overtime           |                   | Х  |                   | Х    |                   | 50 |                               |       | 50                                |
|             |                    |                   |    |                   |      |                   | 70 |                               | 0     |                                   |
| 3           | Subcontract        |                   | Х  |                   | Х    |                   |    | 1                             | 30    | 130                               |
| ٦           | TOTAL DEMAND       |                   | 00 | <b>1</b> ,        | ,000 | 7                 | 50 | 2                             | 230   | 2,780                             |

The transportation method of linear programming described in the preceding example works well when analyzing the effects of holding inventories, using overtime, and subcontracting. However, it does not work when nonlinear or negative factors are introduced. Thus, when other factors such as hiring and layoffs are introduced, the more general method of linear programming must be used. Similarly, computer simulation models look for a minimum-cost combination of values.

# **Aggregate Planning in Services**

- Most services use combination strategies and mixed plans
- Controlling the cost of labor is critical
  - 1. Accurate scheduling of labor-hours to assure quick response to customer demand
  - 2. An on-call labor resource to cover unexpected demand
  - 3. Flexibility of individual worker skills
  - 4. Flexibility in rate of output or hours of work

# **Five Service Scenarios**

# Restaurants


- Smoothing the production process
- Determining the optimal workforce size
- Hospitals
  - Responding to patient demand
- National Chains of Small Service Firms
  - Planning done at national level and at local level

# **Five Service Scenarios**


- Miscellaneous Services
  - Plan human resource requirements
  - Manage demand
- Airline industry
  - Extremely complex planning problem
  - Involves number of flights, number of passengers, air and ground personnel, allocation of seats to fare classes
  - Resources spread through the entire system

- Allocating resources to customers at prices that will maximize revenue
  - 1. Service or product can be sold in advance of consumption
  - 2. Demand fluctuates
  - 3. Capacity is relatively fixed
  - 4. Demand can be segmented
  - 5. Variable costs are low and fixed costs are high

## **Revenue Management Example**



## **Revenue Management Example**



# **Revenue Management Approaches**

- Airlines, hotels, rental cars, etc.
  - Tend to have predictable duration of service and use variable pricing to control availability and revenue
- Movies, stadiums, performing arts centers
  - Tend to have predicable duration and fixed prices but use seating locations and times to manage revenue

# **Revenue Management Approaches**

- Restaurants, golf courses, ISPs
  - Generally have unpredictable duration of customer use and fixed prices, may use "offpeak" rates to shift demand and manage revenue
- Health care businesses, etc.
  - Tend to have unpredictable duration of service and variable pricing, often attempt to control duration of service

# Making Revenue Management Work

- 1. Multiple pricing structures must be feasible and appear logical to the customer
- 2. Forecasts of the use and duration of use
- 3. Changes in demand